

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,663	DIEPERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Laurence Greenberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Counsel was informed that in order to overcome the art in a prior office action, Counsel must positively assert that the claimed invention is commonly owned or has a common assignee as the art at the time the invention was made. Counsel agreed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)